

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/701,910 02/20/01 **ECKERT** Α AP9265 **EXAMINER** 010291 PM82/1109 RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC GRAHAM M 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 140 BLOOMFIELD HILLS MI 48304-0610 3613 DATE MAILED:

11/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

7

	TAU-Al No	AIIt/o		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Groun	p Art Unit	
	BRAT	H177 130	· 13	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover shee	t beneath the correspo	ndence address—	
Period for Response	- **	7		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FR	ROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 0 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, the Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response 	days, a response within the state by default, expire SIX (6) MONT	tutory minimum of thirty (30) o	days will be considered timely.	
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•	
☐ This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 			rits is closed in	
Dispositi n of Claims				
X Claim(s) 12-22		is/are pending	in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected	is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————				
☐ Claim(s)		-	restriction or election	
Applicati n Papers		requirement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	awing Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	•	d 🗆 disapproved.		
		г.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	objected to by the Examine			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	er.			
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a es of the priority documents	a)-(d). have been		
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioring All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies. ☐ received. 	er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) es of the priority documents	a)-(d). have been		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorical or all □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies. □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.) 	er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) es of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PC)	a)-(d). have been Γ Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies. □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note of the Certain No	er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) es of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PC)	a)-(d). have been Γ Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies. □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note of the Certified copies not received: 	ner. Tity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) The sof the priority documents The soft the priority documen	a)-(d). have been Γ Rule 1 7.2(a)).	O-413	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioring All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies. ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial None of the Certified copies not received: *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) es of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PC) per No(s).	a)-(d). have been Γ Rule 1 7.2(a)).		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-328 (New 3-97)

Part of Paper No. _

Application/Control Number: 09/701,910 Page 2

Art Unit: 3613

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed on 12/5/00 and the

substitute specification filed on 2/20/01.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of 37 CFR 1.71(a)-(c):

- (a) The specification must include a written description of the invention or discovery and of the manner and process of making and using the same, and is required to be in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art or science to which the invention or discovery appertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same.
- (b) The specification must set forth the precise invention for which a patent is solicited, in such manner as to distinguish it from other inventions and from what is old. It must describe completely a specific embodiment of the process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or improvement invented, and must explain the mode of operation or principle whenever applicable. The best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention must be set forth.
- © In the case of an improvement, the specification must particularly point out the part or parts of the process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter to which the improvement relates, and the description should be confined to the specific improvement and to such parts as necessarily cooperate with it or as may be necessary to a complete understanding or description of it.

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 because there is no description of any dampening means or method.

3. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Note the above discussion in paragraph 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 3613

4. Claims 12-15, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 12 is indefinite in the recitation of "a control unit dampening effect or the counterforce of a brake pedal". This is not an element on a brake system. Claims 13-15 are indefinite due to their dependency on claim 12.

Claims 20 and 22 are each indefinite in the recitation of "taking into account". This phrase is vague and non-limiting.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Michels.
 As broadly recited, Michels reduces a counterforce of the brake pedal.
- 7. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Feigel et al.

 Note column 4, lines 1-11.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,910

Page 4

Art Unit: 3613

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takahashi, Wiss and the two publications by Steiner et al. show braking systems

having a plural brake pedal sensors.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Graham at

telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Graham/cw

October 31, 2001

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 310